



For years, pro-wolf activists have insisted that ranchers have nothing to complain about. When wolves kill cattle, “you get compensated.” When calves come up missing, “get range riders.” According to the urban fantasy version of wolf management, a handful of people riding around vast expanses of mountainous livestock range can prevent predation, and whatever they can’t prevent, the government will pay back.

But the people who actually work in wolf country know that both of these promises, range riding as prevention and compensation as cure, are nothing more than carefully crafted political illusions.

Wolf-conflict specialist Jeff Flood has spent more than a decade on the ground in Washington, and he is brutally clear: range riding does not stop wolf depredation, and compensation does not fix the

damage. Together, they form a system that looks good on paper while quietly dismantling rural families, cattle herds, ranching legacies, and the agricultural economy of the region.

## **The Myth of Range Riding Is the First Lie Ranchers Are Told**

The public imagines range riders galloping across the landscape, intercepting wolves just in time, heroically pushing predators away from cattle. In reality, Flood says, that never happens. Wolves kill at night, in timber, in ravines, in “some old dark hole.” Riders simply cannot be everywhere at once, and wolves know it. Range riding is not predator deterrence; it is extra labor. Riders check cattle, find carcasses, document kills, and push scattered cows back together. These tasks matter, but they do nothing to prevent wolves from killing. And even the one thing riders can do, find carcasses early, only feeds into the next failure in the system: the compensation maze.

## **The Second Lie: Compensation Will Make Ranchers Whole**

When a rider or rancher finds a dead calf quickly enough and there is still sufficient evidence left to examine, the state may confirm a depredation. But even then, payment rarely matches the true economic loss. Flood works with a producer who already has more than \$30,000 in confirmed wolf kills — while Washington’s entire statewide compensation budget for 2025 is also \$30,000. That’s for all ranchers, all depredations, all year. Meanwhile, the open (not pregnant) cows, missing calves, lightweight calves, and cows run to exhaustion are not compensable at all.

The program covers only what the rider finds soon enough to be documented, and wolves are incredibly good at eliminating the evidence.

Flood says what ranchers whisper to each other privately:

**“When this gets bad, the dead ones are the least of your worries.”**

## **Range Riders Can't Stop Wolves, but They Do Help Wolves Learn**

In states without public wolf hunting and trapping, wolves quickly habituate to human presence. Without lethal consequences, the highly intelligent wolf learns that range riders are just another predictable feature of the landscape. Wolves smell them, watch them, hide from them, and then go right back to killing cattle the moment the rider is over the ridge.

Flood warns that range riding often makes wolves bolder:

**“All we’re doing is habituating wolves to humans.”**

A wolf that repeatedly experiences human scent, sound, and presence without lethal consequences becomes more confident, not less. And a confident wolf is a killing wolf.

But even worse, range riding, which is touted as a mandatory step before the state will authorize lethal removal, delays decisive action, allowing wolf packs to become fully habituated to non-threatening human presence and to livestock as easy, plentiful prey.

That delay is catastrophic, because once one or two wolves learn to kill cattle, the entire pack quickly follows.

Flood has seen this pattern countless times.

And that is exactly where the compensation trap snaps shut.

## **Compensation Is Not a Safety Net, It Is a Shackle**

Once the pack has learned to kill cattle, the rancher is losing calves, losing cows, losing condition, losing pregnancies, and losing money and morale every single day. Yet instead of removing the problem wolves early, the state requires more range riding, more documentation, and more checking of meaningless bureaucratic boxes.

Meanwhile, the wolves continue killing.

And then the state says, “Don’t worry, you’ll be compensated.”

**Except:**

- **You won’t be compensated fully**
- **You won’t be compensated for indirect losses**
- **You won’t be compensated for stress-induced abortions**
- **You won’t be compensated for weight loss**
- **You won’t be compensated for calves that simply disappear**
- **You won’t be compensated for the long-term change in herd behavior and hardiness**

And worst of all, Flood warns, compensation is a political muzzle:

“We can make you rich for a year or two, but you’ve got to keep your mouth shut.”

Once a rancher accepts compensation dollars, they become targets for harassment and outright threats from activist groups. Because of this, and the bureaucratic procedural quagmire, some ranchers with plenty of verifiable wolf depredations simply quit seeking compensation. And by seeking compensation, they effectively lose their public voice. They are viewed as “paid off.” And when compensation funds run dry, which they always do, the rancher is still left dealing with a wolf pack now fully trained to kill cattle.

Compensation doesn’t save ranching operations. It buys time for the state to avoid making decisions, while the situation on the ground grows unmanageable. The ultimate decision quite often ends up being lethal removal of entire packs. In contrast, states that allow the public to hunt and trap wolves have very little trouble with wolves killing livestock.

## **Together, Range Riding and Compensation Create the Perfect Storm**

The public is told that nonlethal deterrent methods and compensation somehow create a utopian coexistence. Flood’s experience says otherwise. Without lethal management methods, the highly intelligent wolf quickly learns that there are no real consequences to preying on livestock. Compensation simply allows the state to postpone making hard decisions. It kicks the can down the road until a pack becomes so deeply habituated to killing cattle that lethal removal, full pack removal, often becomes the only remaining option.

This isn’t coexistence. It’s irresponsible management that produces more conflict, more cattle killed, and ultimately more wolves killed.

Flood sees the tragedy clearly:

**“This isn’t a wolf problem. It’s a management problem.”**

Neither non-lethal deterrent programs or compensation programs prevent depredation. Neither protects ranchers. Neither improves coexistence. In fact, Flood argues that they produce the opposite result:

- Wolves become habituated to non-lethal deterrents.
- Beyond the confirmed kills, cattle become stressed and unproductive.
- Ranch families become financially crippled.
- Entire wolf packs eventually must be removed because the problem escalated too far.

When ranchers finally reach their breaking point, emotionally, financially, or both, they sell off land that they have preserved as open rangeland for generations. And when that land becomes housing developments and ranchettes, it is wolves, deer, elk, and every other wild species that loses.

**The very people who claim to be “protecting wilderness” by pushing wolves onto ranchers are the ones accelerating the destruction of open space.**

## **The Truth No One in Power Wants to Admit**

Range riding does not prevent wolf conflict. Compensation does not repair wolf conflict. Combined, they institutionalize wolf conflict.

They are not designed to solve the problem, they are designed to delay responsibility while ranch families absorb the cost in silence.

Flood's warning cuts through the political fog:

**“I’ll help you get compensation if you want it, but I’m telling you, it’ll be the end of you.”**

Range riding won’t save a ranch. Compensation won’t save a ranch. Only honest, timely, decisive wolf management will.